

## Trading Disputes Committee 19 – Headline Report

12 December 2018 | 10.30am

Teleconference

United Kingdom: +44 330 221 0097

Access Code: 873-249-589

Status: Final

### 1. Welcome

The Chair welcomed members to the Trading Disputes Committee (TDC) meeting. The Chair passed on apologies for members that were unable to attend and welcomed the alternates to this meeting. The Chair also welcomed the two new members to their first TDC meeting. The TDC approved the minutes of the last meeting.

### 2. Update on current trading disputes.

MOSL provided an update on trading disputes since the last TDC meeting and reported that resolution for the disputes raised by Castle Water against Thames Water had been reached.

Since the last TDC meeting, Thames Water has raised a new dispute against Castle Water in relation to Yearly Volume Estimates (YVE). A resolution has also been reached for this dispute.

The TDC:

- **NOTED** the presentation.

### 3. Decision on next steps for individual SPIDs change.

MOSL updated the TDC on discussions held at the Design Authority (DA) meeting. Discussions on individual SPIDs change based on feedback from committee members has aided the DA to determine a high-level impact for the change and classified as Medium.

The presentation also covered the options available to the TDC in terms of progressing with this change:

Option 1 - a combination of CMOS and Code change

Option 2 - a code change to allow corrective runs for individual SPIDs

Option 3 – not to progress the change

MOSL provided an explanation for why what may appear to be a minor technical change had been estimated at a medium cost. Members discussed the practicalities and benefits of the options.

A member questioned whether the original CMOS specification had allowed for unplanned settlement runs to run on individual SPIDs as defined in the Codes.

A member asked for clarification on whether MOSL currently run unplanned settlement runs on individual SPIDs. MOSL confirmed that such runs were run on full pairings due to a policy decision taken after market go live that running on full pairings better supported more accurate settlement.



Members requested additional analysis from MOSL to better understand the potential levels of requests associated with option 2 and running corrective settlement runs in batches of 72, but agreed this was not required before agreeing next steps.

The Chair called for a vote on the 3 options; members unanimously voted for option 2; to progress the change as a code change only.

The TDC noted the presentation and;

- **OPENED** an action for MOSL to provide clarity on the application of additional services costs if more than 72 SPIDs were requested in one corrective settlement run
- **OPENED** an action for TDC members to provide a view regarding the level of usage of this functionality and the numbers of SPIDs involved
- **OPENED** an action for MOSL to provide an analysis on the number of SPIDs affected in unplanned settlement requests and the potential resourcing implications TDC members will also provide information to feed into this. This analysis would be collated in the first 2 weeks of January and presented at the next TDC meeting
- **OPENED** an action for MOSL to draft the change proposal for option 2 to email out prior to the next TDC meeting for discussion at the January meeting

#### 4. TDC post April 2019

The Chair requested that members that had not already done to let MOSL know whether they would be staying on or not as soon as possible. The Chair also requested that where possible, retiring members should allow for attendance at the May and possibly June TDC to ensure a smooth induction process for new members.

The Chair also noted that nominations are still open for a wholesaler member of the TDC.

The TDC:

- **NOTED** the presentation.

#### 5. AOB

There was no additional business and the Chair closed the meeting.