

## MPF Steering Group (05)

**Location:** MS Teams

**Date and time:** 20 January 2023, 1400 - 1600

**Status of Key Points:** FINAL

## Key Points & Actions

### Members

Name	(Initial)	Role/Organisation
Sarah McMath	SM	Chair
Shaun Kent	SK	Ofwat representative
Paul Smith	PS	MOSL Strategic Panel representative
Mike Rathbone	MR	Wholesaler member

### MOSL

Name	(Initial)	Role/Organisation
Adam Richardson	AR	Market Design Director
Chris Dawson	CD	PAG Chair
Steve Formoy	SF	Finance Director
Evan Joanette	EJ	Programme Lead
John Gilbert	JG	Programme Director
Lisa-Ann Lott	LAL	Notes

### Apologies

Name	(Initial)	Role/Organisation
Mike Keil	MK	CCW representative
Mike Rathbone	MR	Wholesaler member
Claire Yeates	CY	Retailer member
Phillip Marsden	PM	MOSL Board representative

## Welcome

The Steering Group discussed the recent CEO forum. Attendees shared supportive views on MOSL's plans for data cleanse. Some attendees felt that MPF should focus on customers and the environment. This was not universal as some attendees proposed that environmental incentives sit better within the price review, possibly with wholesaler efficiency rewards being shared with retailers. The price review preference for environmental incentivisation was in turn shared by a number of Steering Group members.

## Actions from Previous Meeting

The Steering Group noted that MOSL had completed the review of the final draft of PR24 and REC. There were no obvious planning overlaps with MPF2.0.

MOSL noted that after speaking with the Panel Steering Group representative and Panel chair, the preferred approach was for interested Panel members to be brought up to speed via signposting to MOSL's MPF webinars. No new reference material needed to be created for them.

## Programme Plan

JG presented an update of programme milestones and explained that a new programme plan into 2023-24 would soon be ready for publication.

## Programme Risk Register

JG presented an overview of the programme risk register, noting one risk recently increased:

- As MPF2 coalesced, it could become more likely that some trading parties or groups of trading parties object to specific elements of the MPF. To mitigate this, MOSL would rely on a robust sign off process and anchor its proposals back to the key success criteria.

The Steering Group noted that compromising for some TPs could create an MPF that meets the lowest common denominator. Steering Group supported that the PAG continue to play an advisory, but not voting role, and encouraged MOSL to ensure that TPs are driving MPF development at the market level, rather than from any commercial interests.

Steering Group consensus was that Ofwat, CCW, Panel have been supportive of MPF2.0 development and could continue in various forums to support.

**AR to Articulate Strategic Business Case Panel Paper to PS for review.**

<p><b>Early Wins</b></p> <p>JG proposed that as MPF2.0 was developed, there may be incremental changes proposed to deliver early wins where there were MPF activities we could stop doing now with “no regrets”.</p> <p>The Steering Group acknowledged that not all Trading Parties were liable to agree to stop activities but noted that MOSL had done work already (e.g. bilaterals) where there was evidence of trading party support to discontinue some elements of current MPF (e.g. some performance measures).</p> <p>The Steering Group noted that a new MPF should be more agile and if current interventions were not adding value, they could be considered for early removal.</p> <p><b>JG offered that MOSL could present some principles for early wins at the March Steering Group meeting.</b></p>
<p><b>Business Case</b></p> <p>JG outlined the plan to create a business case in three levels; Strategic, Outline and Final.</p> <p>The Steering Group was supportive of the business case approach and proposed that the business case must be explicit about how it would deliver benefits to customers.</p> <p><b>MOSL to ensure business case is explicit that it would deliver benefits to customers.</b></p>
<p><b>Next Steps</b></p> <p>The Steering Group noted that MOSL’s Consultation 2 planning was under way.</p>
<p><b>ANY OTHER BUSINESS</b></p> <p>SM proposed that she would speak to CCW to ensure that they were being represented at the Steering Group meetings as their SG rep had not been able to attend several meetings.</p>

**ACTION ITEMS**

Item	Person	Date	Comments
Strategic Business Case Panel Paper	AR		Articulate Strategic Business Case Panel paper to PS for feedback

MPF Principles	Programme team		MOSL to present principles for early MPF wins (i.e., Low value interventions that could be stopped)
Business Case Review	Programme team		MOSL to ensure business case is explicit that it would deliver benefits to customers. JG agreed to make this clearer
CCW Representation	SM		SM to speak to CCW to encourage their representation at MPF Steering Group meetings